ICASSP 2023 Short Course

Learning Nonlinear and Deep Representations from **High-Dimensional Data** From Theory to Practice

Lecture 1: Introduction to Low-Dimensional Models

Sam Buchanan, Yi Ma, Qing Qu, Atlas Wang John Wright, Yuqian Zhang, Zhihui Zhu

June 6, 2023



The Signal Processing Pipeline



The pursuit of low-dimensional structure is a universal task!

▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶

Historical Context: Quest for Low-Dimensionality

Fourier

Wavelets

X-lets: Curvelets, Contourlets, Bandelets, ...

Learned Dictionaries

Learned Reconstruction Procedures

A continuing quest for **sparse signal representations** leveraging mathematics + massive data and computation!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Historical Context: Sparsity in Neuroscience

Dogma for natural vision [Barlow 1972]: "... to represent the input as completely as possible by activity in as few neurons as possible."





$$oldsymbol{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i oldsymbol{a}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (1)$$

[Nature, Olshausen and Field 1996.]

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)



Historical Context: Sparse and Low-d in Statistics

Principal Component Analysis

Linear correlations in data (low-rank model!)



[Pearson 1901], [Hotelling 1933], [Eckart and Young 1936]

Best Subset Selection

Select a few relevant predictors (sparse model!)

[Hocking, Leslie, and Beale 1967], Stagewise pursuit [Efroymson 1966], Lasso [Tibshirani 1996], Basis pursuit [Chen, Donoho, and Saunders 1998]

Historical Context: Estimation, Errors, Missing Data

A **long and rich history** of robust estimation with error correction and missing data imputation:



R. J. Boscovich. *De calculo probailitatum que respondent diversis valoribus summe errorum post plures observationes* ..., before 1756

A. Legendre. Nouvelles methodes pour la determination des orbites des cometes, 1806



A. Beurling. Sur les integrales de Fourier absolument convergentes et leur application a une transformation functionelle, 1938

B. Logan. Properties of High-Pass Signals, 1965



over-determined + dense, Gaussian



underdetermined + sparse, Laplacian





The Modern Era: Massive Data and Computation



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Motivating Issues I: Correctness?



Low-d. structure leads to principled answers and practical methods!

Motivating Issues II: Computational Efficiency?

Computational Tractability: easy vs./ hard problems:



Motivating Issues III: Resource Efficiency?

Data Efficiency: How many samples? How many labels? **Architecture Efficiency**: How deep? How wide? What operations?



Low-d. structure of data sets fundamental resource requirements for **sensing** and **learning**.

Motivating Issues IV - Robustness?

Robustness: to errors, outliers, missing data:



Robustness and deep networks?



"panda" 57.7% confidence

From [Goodfellow, Shlens and Szegedy, 2015]

 $+.007 \times$



"gibbon" 99.3 % confidence

Low-d structure of signal and error can lead to principled approaches to robustness.

"nematode"

8.2% confidence

Motivating Issues V: Invariance?

Transformations of the signal domain:



can cause still lead to disturbing failures:



From [Azulay and Weiss, 2019]

0.8 - 0.4 -

Low-d. structure in texture / appearance and transformation!

This Course: The Plan

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Low-D Models
- Lecture 2 (today): Low-D in Neural Networks: Practice and Theory
- Lecture 3 (6/7): Designing Deep Networks for Low-D Structure
- Lecture 4 (6/7): Nonconvex Optimization for Low-D Structure
- Lecture 5-7 (6/8-9): Learning Deep Networks for Low-D Structure

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

This Tutorial: Resources

High-Dimensional Data Analysis with Low-Dimensional Models Principles, Computation, and Applications

> John Wright and Yi Ma Cambridge University Press, 2022.



Preproduction Copy from Website: https://book-wright-ma.github.io Slides, Code, etc: https://book-wright-ma.github.io/Lecture-Slides/

Tutorial Website: tutorial slides, code, etc.:

https://highdimdata-lowdimmodels-tutorial.github.io

Sparse Signal Models

Sparse Signals: Call $x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$ sparse if it has only a few nonzero entries:



Sparse Recovery: Given *linear measurements* $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ of a sparse signal



recover x_o .

Sparsity I: Neural Spikes



Sparse and low-dimensional models arise naturally from physical structure of data!

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

크

Sparsity I: Neural Spikes and Beyond



Common Convolutional Model: y = a * x + z, with x sparse.

Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction



Two types of structure: **sparsity of identity** and **sparsity of errors**.

(日) (部) (目) (日)

Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction



Two types of structure: **sparsity of identity** and **sparsity of errors**.

Concatenate gallery images of n subjects into a large "dictionary":

$$oldsymbol{B} = [oldsymbol{B}_1 \mid oldsymbol{B}_2 \mid \cdots \mid oldsymbol{B}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$

all training images

(日) (部) (目) (日)

Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction

Find sparse solutions (x, e) to the linear system:

$$oldsymbol{y} \;=\; oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{e} \;=\; oldsymbol{[B,I]} \left[egin{smallmatrix} oldsymbol{x} \ oldsymbol{e} \end{array}
ight].$$



Correcting Gross Errors is also a sparse recovery problem!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Sparsity III: Magnetic Resonance Imaging



Figure: Left: Key components. Right: The three-axis gradient coils.

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- 2

Sparsity III: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Simplified mathematical model for MRI:

$$y = \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{v}} I(\boldsymbol{v}) \exp(-i 2\pi \, \boldsymbol{u}^* \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
$$\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}_m) \end{bmatrix} \doteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[I], \quad \boldsymbol{m} \ll N^2.$$

Figure: Recovering MRI image from Fourier measurements.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Sparsity III: Structure of MR Images

Express I as a superposition of basis functions $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N^2}\}$:



Many natural signals become **sparse** or **compressible** in an appropriately designed transform domain!

Sparsity III: Image Reconstruction by Sparse Recovery

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{y} &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[I], \\ \text{observed Fourier coefficients} \end{aligned} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}\Big[\psi_1 x_1 + \dots + \psi_{N^2} x_{N^2} \Big], \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_1] x_1 + \dots + \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_{N^2}] x_{N^2}, \\ &= \Big[\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_1] | \dots | \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_{N^2}] \Big] \boldsymbol{x}, \\ &\underset{\mathsf{matrix } \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^2}, \ m \ll N^2. \\ &= \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}. \end{aligned}$$
 (2)

x is sparse or approximately sparse!

Compressed sensing: the number of measurements m for accurate reconstruction should be dictated by signal complexity

▲□▶ ▲舂▶ ▲差▶ ▲差▶ … 差

Sparsity IV: Image Patches

Denoising given $I_{\text{noisy}} = I_{\text{clean}} + \boldsymbol{z}$... break into patches $\boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_p$:

$$m{y}_i = m{y}_{i ext{clean}} + m{z}_i = m{A}_{ ext{patch dictionary}} imes m{x}_i \ ext{sparse coefficient vector} + m{z}_i.$$

Figure: Left: noisy input; middle: denoised; right: *learned* patch dictionary.

Natural signals are challenging to model analytically \implies can **learn the sparse model** from data!

Figure: [Mairal, Elad, Sapiro '08]

Measuring Sparsity: ℓ^0 Norm



Def: the ℓ^0 "norm" $||\boldsymbol{x}||_0$ is the **number of nonzero entries** in the vector \boldsymbol{x} : $||\boldsymbol{x}||_0 = \#\{i \mid \boldsymbol{x}(i) \neq 0\}.$

Connection to
$$\ell^p$$
 norms $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_i |\boldsymbol{x}_i|^p\right)^{1/p} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_0 = \lim_{p\searrow} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p^p.$



The ℓ^p balls.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

Sparse Recovery: ℓ^0 minimization

Computational Principle: seek the **sparsest** signal consistent with our observations:

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min \|x\|_0$$
 s.t. $Ax = y$.

Brute force exhaustive search: try all possible sets of nonzero entries

$$A_{\mathsf{I}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{I}} = \boldsymbol{y}? \quad \forall \mathsf{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \ |\mathsf{I}| \leq k.$$

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

Sparse Recovery: ℓ^0 minimization

Computational Principle: seek the **sparsest** signal consistent with our observations:

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min \|x\|_0$$
 s.t. $Ax = y$.

Brute force exhaustive search: try all possible sets of nonzero entries

$$A_{\mathsf{I}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{I}} = \boldsymbol{y}? \quad \forall \mathsf{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \ |\mathsf{I}| \leq k.$$

Theory: ℓ^0 recovers **any sufficiently sparse signal!** For generic A, success when $\|\boldsymbol{x}_o\|_0 \leq \frac{m}{2}$.



ℓ^0 Minimization is NP-hard

Theorem (Hardness of ℓ^0 Minimization)

The ℓ^0 -minimization problem min $\|x\|_0$ s.t. Ax = y is (strongly) NP-hard.

Proof: Reducible from Exact 3-Set Cover (E3C) problem.



<ロト <四ト <注入 <注下 <注下 <

ℓ^0 Minimization is NP-hard

Theorem (Hardness of ℓ^0 Minimization)

The ℓ^0 -minimization problem min $\|x\|_0$ s.t. Ax = y is (strongly) NP-hard.

Proof: Reducible from Exact 3-Set Cover (E3C) problem.



In high dimensions, need to pay attention to *both* statistical and computational efficiency!

Convex Relaxation: ℓ^1 Minimization

Intuitive reasons why ℓ^0 minimization:

 $\min \|x\|_0$ subject to Ax = y. (3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

is very challenging:



 ℓ^0 is nonconvex, discontinuous, not amenable to local search methods such as gradient descent.

Convex Relaxation: ℓ^1 Minimization

For minimizing a generic function: $\min f(x), x \in C$ (a convex set), local methods: $x_{k+1} = x_k - t\nabla f(x_k)$ succeed only if f has "nice" geometry:



Need to formulate for computational efficiency!

- Lectures 1: convex relaxations for sparse, low-rank models
- Lectures 2+: benign nonconvex formulations for nonlinear models

Convex Relaxation: ℓ^1 Minimization



Figure: Convex surrogates for the ℓ^0 norm. $||x||_1$ is the *convex envelope* of $||x||_0$ on B_{∞} .



Minimizing the ℓ^1 Norm: Simulations

Solve: $\min \|x\|_1$ s.t. Ax = y.

 $m{A}$ is of size 200 imes 400. Fraction of success across 50 trials.



Experiment: ℓ^1 minimization recovers *any sufficiently sparse signal*?

(4)

Geometric Intuition: Coefficient Space

Given $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_o \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\boldsymbol{x}_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$ sparse:

$$\min \|m{x}\|_1$$
 subject to $m{A}m{x} = m{y}.$ (5)

The space of all feasible solutions is an affine subspace:

$$S = \{x \mid Ax = y\} = \{x_o\} + \operatorname{null}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (6)



Geometric Intuition: Coefficient Space

Gradually expand a ℓ^1 ball of radius t from the origin 0:

$$t \cdot \mathsf{B}_1 = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \mid \left\| \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_1 \le t \} \quad \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

・ロト ・御ト ・モト ・モト

æ

till its boundary first touches the feasible set S:

t



Geometric Intuition: ℓ^1 vs. ℓ^2 ?





 $\overrightarrow{x_1}$

 $\overrightarrow{x_1}$

Theory: Isometry Principles

Say that A satisfies the **restricted isometry property** of order kwith coefficient δ if for all k-sparse x,

$$(1-\delta) \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} \leq (1+\delta) \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}.$$



(日) (四) (里) (里)

- 2

Theorem (RIP $\implies \ell^1$ succeeds)

Suppose that $\delta_{2k}(\mathbf{A}) < \sqrt{2} - 1$. Then ℓ^1 minimization recovers any k-sparse signal \mathbf{x} !

Theory: Random Sensing

Theorem (RIP of Gaussian Matrices)

If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with entries independent $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right)$ random variables, with high probability, $\delta_k(\mathbf{A}) < \delta$, provided $m \geq Ck \log(n/k)/\delta^2$.



 $\implies \ell^1$ -minimization recovers k-sparse vectors from about $k \log(n/k)$ measurements (nearly minimal)!

Extensions: other distributions, structured random matrices.

From **Sparse Recovery** to **Low-Rank Recovery**

Recovering a sparse signal x_o : $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x}_o$ observation unknown where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a linear map. Recovering a low-rank matrix X_o : $\boldsymbol{y} = \mathcal{A} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_o \\ \text{unknown} \end{bmatrix}$

where $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear map.





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Low-Rank I: Rank and Geometry



Multiple images of a Lambertian object with varying light:

 $\boldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}[\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{L}], \ \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{L}$ has rank 3.

Low-rank model from **physical constraints** (3 degrees of freedom in point illumination)

See also: multiview geometry, system identification, sensor positioning...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ □ □

Low-Rank II: Rank and Collaborative Filtering



Low-rank model: user preferences are linearly correlated; a few factors predict preferences $(Y_{ij} = u_i^T v_j, \text{ with } u_i, v_j \in \mathbb{R}^r)$.

See also: latent semantic analysis, topic modeling...

Rank and Singular Value Decomposition

Theorem (Compact SVD)

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ be a matrix, and $r = \operatorname{rank}(X)$. Then there exist $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ with numbers $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$ and matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times r}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times r}$, such that $U^*U = I$, $V^*V = I$ and

$$oldsymbol{X} \;=\; oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{V}^* \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^*.$$

Low-rank is sparsity of the singular values: $rank(X) = \|\sigma(X)\|_0!$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Many of the same tools and ideas apply!

Computing SVD: Nice Nonconvex Problem (Lecture 3)

Affine Rank Minimization

Problem: recover a low-rank matrix X_o from linear measurements: $\min \operatorname{rank}(X)$ subject to $\mathcal{A}[X] = y$ where $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an observation and $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear.

General linear map: $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{X}] = (\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{X} \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{A}_m, \mathbf{X} \rangle), \ \mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}.$

NP-Hard in general, by reduction from ℓ^0 minimization, using that

 $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})\|_0.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Let's seek a tractable surrogate...

Convex Relaxation: Nuclear Norm Minimization

Replace the rank, which is the ℓ^0 norm $\sigma(X)$ with the ℓ^1 norm of $\sigma(X)$:

Nuclear norm:
$$\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_* \doteq \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})\|_1 = \sum_i \sigma_i(\boldsymbol{X}).$$

Also known as the trace norm, Schatten 1-norm, and Ky-Fan k-norm.

Nuclear norm minimization problem:

 $\min \|\boldsymbol{X}\|_*$ subject to $\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{y}.$

Geometry of nuclear norm minimization:

Nuclear norm ball $\mathsf{B}_* = \{ \boldsymbol{X} \mid \| \boldsymbol{X} \|_* \leq 1 \}$



(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Low-Rank Recovery with Generic Measurements

• Rank Restricted Isometry Property: for all rank-r X,

 $(1-\delta)\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_F \le \|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}[\boldsymbol{X}]\| \le (1+\delta)\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_F$

- Rank RIP ⇒ accurate recovery: if δ_{4r}(A) ≤ √2 − 1, nuclear norm minimization recovers any rank-r X_o.
- Random linear maps have rank-RIP if

$$\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{X}] = (\langle \boldsymbol{A}_1, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle, \dots, \langle \boldsymbol{A}_m, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle)$$

with A_1, \ldots, A_m independent Gaussian matrices, \mathcal{A} has rank-RIP with high probability when $m \geq C(n_1 + n_2)r/\delta^2$.

Nuclear norm minimization recovers low-rank matrices from near minimal number $m \sim r(n_1 + n_2 - r)$ of generic measurements.

Generic vs. Structured Measurements



Rank-RIP: no low-rank X in null(A). **Matrix completion**: \exists rank-1 X in null(A). E.g., $X = E_{ij}$, $(i, j) \notin \Omega$.

 \implies Matrix completion does not have restricted isometry property!

Analogous instances: superresolution of point sources, sparse spike deconvolution, analysis of dictionary learning methods.

Theory for Matrix Completion

Theorem

With high probability, nuclear norm minimization recovers an $n \times n$, ν -incoherent, rank-r matrix from a random subset of entries, of size

$$m \ge Cnr\nu \log^2 n.$$

Restrict to incoherent X_o (not concentrated on a few entries!) Proof ideas: local isometry plus clever use of convexity and probability.

sometry plus clever
nd probability.

$$\nu = \max\{\frac{n}{r} \max_{i} ||\mathcal{P}_{U}e_{i}||_{2}^{2}, \frac{n}{r} \max_{j} ||\mathcal{P}_{V}e_{j}||_{2}^{2}, \frac{n}{r} \max_{j} ||\mathcal{P}_{V}e_{j}||_$$

Parallelism between Rank and Sparsity

	Sparse Vector	Low-rank Matrix
Low-dimensionality of	individual signal x	a set of signals X
Compressive sensing	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X})$
Low-dim measure	ℓ^0 norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _0$	$rank(oldsymbol{X})$
Convex surrogate	ℓ^1 norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _1$	nuclear norm $\ oldsymbol{X}\ _*$
Success conditions (RIP)	$\delta_{2k}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$	$\delta_{4r}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$
Random measurements	$m = O\left(k\log(n/k)\right)$	m = O(nr)
Stable/Inexact recovery	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{z}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{Z}$
Phase transition at	Stat. dim. of descent cone: $m^* = \delta(D)$	

Sharp Phase Transitions with Gaussian Measurements



High dimensions (large n): sharp line between success and failure!

Beautiful math: convex polytopes, conic geometry, high-D probability.

Noise and Inexact Structure

Observation: $y = Ax_o + z$, with x_o structured, and z noise.

Goal: produce \hat{x} as close to x_o as possible! Relax:

• **Lasso** for stable sparse recovery

$$\min_{m{x}} rac{1}{2} \|m{A}m{x} - m{y}\|_2^2 + \mu \|m{x}\|_1$$

Matrix Lasso for stable low-rank recovery

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{X}] - \mathbf{y} \|_{2}^{2} + \mu \| \mathbf{X} \|_{*}.$$

Wealth of statistical results: if A "nice" (say, RIP or RSC) ...

(i) Deterministic noise: $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_2$ (ii) Stochastic noise: $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C\sigma\sqrt{k\log n/m}$. (iii) Inexact structure: $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C \|\boldsymbol{x}_o - [\boldsymbol{x}_o]_k\|$.

Parallelism between Rank and Sparsity

	Sparse Vector	Low-rank Matrix
Low-dimensionality of	individual signal x	a set of signals X
Compressive sensing	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X})$
Low-dim measure	ℓ^0 norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _0$	$rank(oldsymbol{X})$
Convex surrogate	ℓ^1 norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _1$	nuclear norm $\ oldsymbol{X}\ _*$
Success conditions (RIP)	$\delta_{2k}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$	$\delta_{4r}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$
Random measurements	$m = O\left(k\log(n/k)\right)$	m = O(nr)
Stable/Inexact recovery	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{z}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{Z}$
Phase transition at	Stat. dim. of descent cone: $m^* = \delta(D)$	

Combining Rank and Sparsity: Robust PCA?



Given $Y = L_o + S_o$, with L_o low-rank, S_o sparse, recover (L_o, S_o) .

(日) (部) (王) (王)

A robust counterpart to classical principal component analysis:

Classical PCA: Low-rank + small noise Matrix Completion: Low-rank from a subset of entries Low-rank and Sparse: Low-rank + gross errors

Low-rank + Sparse I: Video

A sequence of video frames can be modeled as a static background (low-rank) and moving foreground (sparse).



<ロト (部) (注) (注)

Low-rank + Sparse II: Faces

A set of face images of the same person under different lightings can be modeled as a low-dimensional, $3 \sim 9$ d, subspace and sparse occlusions and corruptions (specularities).



Low-rank + Sparse III: Communities

Finding communities in a large social networks. Each community can be modeled as a clique of the social graph \mathcal{G} , hence a rank-1 block in the connectivity matrix M. Hence M is a low-rank matrix and some sparse connections across communities.



<ロト <四ト <注入 <注下 <注下 <

Low-rank + Sparse: Convex Relaxations

Optimization formulation:

minimize $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_0$ subject to $\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{Y},$

which is intractable. Consider convex relaxation:

$$\|\boldsymbol{S}\|_0 \to \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1, \quad \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{L})\|_0 \to \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_*$$

minimize $\|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1$ subject to $\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{Y}$.

- Theory: recovery, e.g., when L_o incoherent, S_o random sparse.
- Efficient, scalable methods: see Lecture 2 and course resources.

General Low-Dimensional Models Atomic Norms and Structured Sparsity

Atomic Norm: for a set of atoms \mathcal{D} , $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\diamondsuit} = \inf\{\sum_{i} c_{i} \mid \sum_{i} c_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x}\}$

- Sparsity: $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{e}_i \}$,
- Low-rank: $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}^T \}$,
- Column sparse matrices: $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{e}_j^T \}$,
- Sinusoids: $\mathcal{D} = \{ \exp(\mathfrak{i}(2\pi ft + \xi)) \}$,
- Tensors: $\mathcal{D} = \{ oldsymbol{u}_1 \otimes oldsymbol{u}_2 \otimes oldsymbol{u}_N \}$, ...

Structured Sparsity: capture relationship between nonzeros



(日) (四) (日) (日)

크

Learned Low-Dimensional Models: Dictionary Learning, Deconvolution





min $f(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{X}) \doteq \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}\|_F^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{X}\|_1$, s.t. $\boldsymbol{A} \in O_n$



The same **modeling toolkit**, but optimization formulations become **nonconvex**! (see Lecture 4)

Nonlinear Low-Dimensional Models

Nonlinear Observations: Transformed low-rank texture



(a) Low-rank texture \boldsymbol{I}_o



(b) Its image \boldsymbol{I} under a different viewpoint

Nonlinear (Manifold) Structure: Gravitational wave astronomy



Nonconvex optimization + deep networks as tools for Linearizing Nonlinear Low-d Structure! (see Lectures 3,5-7)

Conclusion and Coming Attractions

- **Models**: Sparse and Low-rank provide a flexible toolkit for modeling high-dimensional signals
- Sample Complexity: Structured signals can be recovered from near-minimal measurements m ~ #dof(x).
- Tractable Computation: Convex relaxations ℓ^1 , nuclear norm
- Extensions: Combinations, learned dictionaries, nonlinear structures.

Next lecture: low-dimensionality meets deep networks [Atlas Wang].

Thank You! Questions?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで