ICASSP 2023 Short Course

Learning Nonlinear and Deep Representations from **High-Dimensional Data** From Theory to Practice

Lecture 1: Introduction to Low-Dimensional Models

Sam Buchanan, Yi Ma, Qing Qu, Atlas Wang John Wright, Yuqian Zhang, Zhihui Zhu

June 6, 2023



#### The Signal Processing Pipeline



The pursuit of low-dimensional structure is a universal task!

▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶

## Historical Context: Quest for Low-Dimensionality

Fourier

Wavelets

X-lets: Curvelets, Contourlets, Bandelets, ...

Learned Dictionaries

Learned Reconstruction Procedures

A continuing quest for **sparse signal representations** leveraging mathematics + massive data and computation!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

## Historical Context: Sparsity in Neuroscience

**Dogma for natural vision** [Barlow 1972]: "... to represent the input as completely as possible by activity in as few neurons as possible."





$$oldsymbol{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i oldsymbol{a}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (1)$$

[Nature, Olshausen and Field 1996.]

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)



## Historical Context: Sparse and Low-d in Statistics

#### **Principal Component Analysis**

Linear correlations in data (low-rank model!)



[Pearson 1901], [Hotelling 1933], [Eckart and Young 1936]

#### **Best Subset Selection**

Select a few relevant predictors (sparse model!)

[Hocking, Leslie, and Beale 1967], Stagewise pursuit [Efroymson 1966], Lasso [Tibshirani 1996], Basis pursuit [Chen, Donoho, and Saunders 1998]

# Historical Context: Estimation, Errors, Missing Data

A **long and rich history** of robust estimation with error correction and missing data imputation:

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

R. J. Boscovich. *De calculo probailitatum que respondent diversis valoribus summe errorum post plures observationes* ..., before 1756

A. Legendre. Nouvelles methodes pour la determination des orbites des cometes, 1806

![](_page_5_Figure_5.jpeg)

A. Beurling. Sur les integrales de Fourier absolument convergentes et leur application a une transformation functionelle, 1938

B. Logan. Properties of High-Pass Signals, 1965

![](_page_5_Figure_8.jpeg)

over-determined + dense, Gaussian

![](_page_5_Figure_10.jpeg)

underdetermined + sparse, Laplacian

![](_page_5_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

# The Modern Era: Massive Data and Computation

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

## Motivating Issues I: Correctness?

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Low-d. structure leads to principled answers and practical methods!

# Motivating Issues II: Computational Efficiency?

Computational Tractability: easy vs./ hard problems:

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Motivating Issues III: Resource Efficiency?

**Data Efficiency**: How many samples? How many labels? **Architecture Efficiency**: How deep? How wide? What operations?

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Low-d. structure** of data sets fundamental resource requirements for **sensing** and **learning**.

## Motivating Issues IV - Robustness?

Robustness: to errors, outliers, missing data:

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

Robustness and deep networks?

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

"panda" 57.7% confidence

From [Goodfellow, Shlens and Szegedy, 2015]

 $+.007 \times$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

"gibbon" 99.3 % confidence

**Low-d structure of signal and error** can lead to principled approaches to robustness.

"nematode"

8.2% confidence

# Motivating Issues V: Invariance?

Transformations of the signal domain:

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

can cause still lead to disturbing failures:

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

From [Azulay and Weiss, 2019]

0.8 - 0.4 -

Low-d. structure in texture / appearance and transformation!

#### This Course: The Plan

- Lecture 1: Introduction to Low-D Models
- Lecture 2 (today): Low-D in Neural Networks: Practice and Theory
- Lecture 3 (6/7): Designing Deep Networks for Low-D Structure
- Lecture 4 (6/7): Nonconvex Optimization for Low-D Structure
- Lecture 5-7 (6/8-9): Learning Deep Networks for Low-D Structure

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### This Tutorial: Resources

High-Dimensional Data Analysis with Low-Dimensional Models Principles, Computation, and Applications

> John Wright and Yi Ma Cambridge University Press, 2022.

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Preproduction Copy from Website: https://book-wright-ma.github.io Slides, Code, etc: https://book-wright-ma.github.io/Lecture-Slides/

Tutorial Website: tutorial slides, code, etc.:

https://highdimdata-lowdimmodels-tutorial.github.io

## **Sparse Signal Models**

**Sparse Signals**: Call  $x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$  sparse if it has only a few nonzero entries:

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Sparse Recovery**: Given *linear measurements*  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  of a sparse signal

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

recover  $x_o$ .

# Sparsity I: Neural Spikes

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Sparse and low-dimensional models arise naturally from physical structure of data!

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

크

# Sparsity I: Neural Spikes and Beyond

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Common Convolutional Model: y = a \* x + z, with x sparse.

#### Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Two types of structure: **sparsity of identity** and **sparsity of errors**.

(日) (部) (目) (日)

#### Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Two types of structure: **sparsity of identity** and **sparsity of errors**.

Concatenate gallery images of n subjects into a large "dictionary":

$$oldsymbol{B} = [oldsymbol{B}_1 \mid oldsymbol{B}_2 \mid \cdots \mid oldsymbol{B}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$
  
all training images

(日) (部) (目) (日)

#### Sparsity II: Faces and Error Correction

Find sparse solutions (x, e) to the linear system:

$$oldsymbol{y} \;=\; oldsymbol{B}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{e} \;=\; oldsymbol{[B,I]} \left[egin{smallmatrix} oldsymbol{x} \ oldsymbol{e} \end{array}
ight].$$

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Correcting Gross Errors is also a sparse recovery problem!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

## Sparsity III: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure: Left: Key components. Right: The three-axis gradient coils.

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- 2

### Sparsity III: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Simplified mathematical model for MRI:

$$y = \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{v}} I(\boldsymbol{v}) \exp(-i 2\pi \, \boldsymbol{u}^* \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{v}, \quad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
$$\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}[I](\boldsymbol{u}_m) \end{bmatrix} \doteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[I], \quad \boldsymbol{m} \ll N^2.$$

Figure: Recovering MRI image from Fourier measurements.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

## Sparsity III: Structure of MR Images

Express I as a superposition of basis functions  $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N^2}\}$ :

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Many natural signals become **sparse** or **compressible** in an appropriately designed transform domain!

#### Sparsity III: Image Reconstruction by Sparse Recovery

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{y} &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[I], \\ \text{observed Fourier coefficients} \end{aligned} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}\Big[ \psi_1 x_1 + \dots + \psi_{N^2} x_{N^2} \Big], \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_1] x_1 + \dots + \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_{N^2}] x_{N^2}, \\ &= \Big[ \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_1] | \dots | \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{U}}[\psi_{N^2}] \Big] \boldsymbol{x}, \\ &\underset{\mathsf{matrix } \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^2}, \ m \ll N^2. \\ &= \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}. \end{aligned}$$
 (2)

x is sparse or approximately sparse!

**Compressed sensing**: the number of measurements m for accurate reconstruction should be dictated by signal complexity

▲□▶ ▲舂▶ ▲差▶ ▲差▶ … 差

## Sparsity IV: Image Patches

**Denoising** given  $I_{\text{noisy}} = I_{\text{clean}} + \boldsymbol{z}$  ... break into patches  $\boldsymbol{y}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_p$ :

$$m{y}_i = m{y}_{i ext{clean}} + m{z}_i = m{A}_{ ext{patch dictionary}} imes m{x}_i \ ext{sparse coefficient vector} + m{z}_i.$$

Figure: Left: noisy input; middle: denoised; right: *learned* patch dictionary.

Natural signals are challenging to model analytically  $\implies$  can **learn the sparse model** from data!

Figure: [Mairal, Elad, Sapiro '08]

## **Measuring Sparsity**: $\ell^0$ Norm

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Def**: the  $\ell^0$  "norm"  $||\boldsymbol{x}||_0$  is the **number of nonzero entries** in the vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$ :  $||\boldsymbol{x}||_0 = \#\{i \mid \boldsymbol{x}(i) \neq 0\}.$ 

Connection to 
$$\ell^p$$
 norms $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_i |\boldsymbol{x}_i|^p\right)^{1/p} : \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_0 = \lim_{p\searrow} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_p^p.$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

The  $\ell^p$  balls.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

# **Sparse Recovery**: $\ell^0$ minimization

**Computational Principle:** seek the **sparsest** signal consistent with our observations:

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min \|x\|_0$$
 s.t.  $Ax = y$ .

Brute force exhaustive search: try all possible sets of nonzero entries

$$A_{\mathsf{I}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{I}} = \boldsymbol{y}? \quad \forall \mathsf{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \ |\mathsf{I}| \leq k.$$

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

# **Sparse Recovery**: $\ell^0$ minimization

**Computational Principle:** seek the **sparsest** signal consistent with our observations:

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min \|x\|_0$$
 s.t.  $Ax = y$ .

Brute force exhaustive search: try all possible sets of nonzero entries

$$A_{\mathsf{I}}\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathsf{I}} = \boldsymbol{y}? \quad \forall \mathsf{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \ |\mathsf{I}| \leq k.$$

**Theory**:  $\ell^0$  recovers **any sufficiently sparse signal!** For generic A, success when  $\|\boldsymbol{x}_o\|_0 \leq \frac{m}{2}$ .

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

# $\ell^0$ Minimization is NP-hard

#### Theorem (Hardness of $\ell^0$ Minimization)

The  $\ell^0$ -minimization problem min  $\|x\|_0$  s.t. Ax = y is (strongly) NP-hard.

Proof: Reducible from Exact 3-Set Cover (E3C) problem.

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

<ロト <四ト <注入 <注下 <注下 <

# $\ell^0$ Minimization is NP-hard

#### Theorem (Hardness of $\ell^0$ Minimization)

The  $\ell^0$ -minimization problem min  $\|x\|_0$  s.t. Ax = y is (strongly) NP-hard.

Proof: Reducible from Exact 3-Set Cover (E3C) problem.

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

In high dimensions, need to pay attention to *both* statistical and computational efficiency!

# **Convex Relaxation:** $\ell^1$ Minimization

Intuitive reasons why  $\ell^0$  minimization:

 $\min \|x\|_0$  subject to Ax = y. (3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

is very challenging:

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\ell^0$  is nonconvex, discontinuous, not amenable to local search methods such as gradient descent.

# **Convex Relaxation:** $\ell^1$ Minimization

For minimizing a generic function:  $\min f(x), x \in C$  (a convex set), local methods:  $x_{k+1} = x_k - t\nabla f(x_k)$  succeed only if f has "nice" geometry:

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Need to formulate for computational efficiency!

- Lectures 1: convex relaxations for sparse, low-rank models
- Lectures 2+: benign nonconvex formulations for nonlinear models

# **Convex Relaxation:** $\ell^1$ Minimization

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure: Convex surrogates for the  $\ell^0$  norm.  $||x||_1$  is the *convex envelope* of  $||x||_0$  on  $B_{\infty}$ .

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Minimizing the $\ell^1$ Norm: Simulations

Solve:  $\min \|x\|_1$  s.t. Ax = y.

 $m{A}$  is of size 200 imes 400. Fraction of success across 50 trials.

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Experiment**:  $\ell^1$  minimization recovers *any sufficiently sparse signal*?

(4)

#### **Geometric Intuition: Coefficient Space**

Given  $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_o \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\boldsymbol{x}_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$  sparse:

$$\min \|m{x}\|_1$$
 subject to  $m{A}m{x} = m{y}.$  (5)

The space of all feasible solutions is an affine subspace:

$$S = \{x \mid Ax = y\} = \{x_o\} + \operatorname{null}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (6)

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Geometric Intuition: Coefficient Space**

Gradually expand a  $\ell^1$  ball of radius t from the origin 0:

$$t \cdot \mathsf{B}_1 = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \mid \left\| \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_1 \le t \} \quad \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

・ロト ・御ト ・モト ・モト

æ

till its boundary first touches the feasible set S:

t

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Geometric Intuition: $\ell^1$ vs. $\ell^2$ ?

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\overrightarrow{x_1}$ 

 $\overrightarrow{x_1}$ 

#### **Theory: Isometry Principles**

Say that A satisfies the **restricted isometry property** of order kwith coefficient  $\delta$  if for all k-sparse x,

$$(1-\delta) \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} \leq (1+\delta) \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}.$$

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

(日) (四) (里) (里)

- 2

#### Theorem (RIP $\implies \ell^1$ succeeds)

Suppose that  $\delta_{2k}(\mathbf{A}) < \sqrt{2} - 1$ . Then  $\ell^1$  minimization recovers any k-sparse signal  $\mathbf{x}$ !

# **Theory: Random Sensing**

#### Theorem (RIP of Gaussian Matrices)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with entries independent  $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right)$  random variables, with high probability,  $\delta_k(\mathbf{A}) < \delta$ , provided  $m \geq Ck \log(n/k)/\delta^2$ .

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\implies \ell^1$ -minimization recovers k-sparse vectors from about  $k \log(n/k)$  measurements (nearly minimal)!

**Extensions**: other distributions, structured random matrices.

#### From **Sparse Recovery** to **Low-Rank Recovery**

Recovering a sparse signal  $x_o$ :  $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x}_o$ observation unknown where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a linear map. Recovering a low-rank matrix  $X_o$ :  $\boldsymbol{y} = \mathcal{A} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_o \\ \text{unknown} \end{bmatrix}$ 

where  $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear map.

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Low-Rank I: Rank and Geometry

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Multiple images of a Lambertian object with varying light:

 $\boldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}[\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{L}], \ \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{L}$  has rank 3.

**Low-rank model** from **physical constraints** (3 degrees of freedom in point illumination)

See also: multiview geometry, system identification, sensor positioning...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ □ □

## Low-Rank II: Rank and Collaborative Filtering

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Low-rank model: user preferences are linearly correlated; a few factors predict preferences  $(Y_{ij} = u_i^T v_j, \text{ with } u_i, v_j \in \mathbb{R}^r)$ .

See also: latent semantic analysis, topic modeling...

### Rank and Singular Value Decomposition

#### Theorem (Compact SVD)

Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$  be a matrix, and  $r = \operatorname{rank}(X)$ . Then there exist  $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$  with numbers  $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0$  and matrices  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times r}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times r}$ , such that  $U^*U = I$ ,  $V^*V = I$  and

$$oldsymbol{X} \;=\; oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{V}^* \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^*.$$

Low-rank is sparsity of the singular values:  $rank(X) = \|\sigma(X)\|_0!$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Many of the same tools and ideas apply!

Computing SVD: Nice Nonconvex Problem (Lecture 3)

## Affine Rank Minimization

**Problem:** recover a low-rank matrix  $X_o$  from linear measurements:  $\min \operatorname{rank}(X)$  subject to  $\mathcal{A}[X] = y$ where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is an observation and  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is linear.

General linear map:  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{X}] = (\langle \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{X} \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{A}_m, \mathbf{X} \rangle), \ \mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}.$ 

**NP-Hard in general**, by reduction from  $\ell^0$  minimization, using that

 $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})\|_0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Let's seek a tractable surrogate...

## **Convex Relaxation: Nuclear Norm Minimization**

Replace the rank, which is the  $\ell^0$  norm  $\sigma(X)$  with the  $\ell^1$  norm of  $\sigma(X)$ :

Nuclear norm: 
$$\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_* \doteq \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{X})\|_1 = \sum_i \sigma_i(\boldsymbol{X}).$$

Also known as the trace norm, Schatten 1-norm, and Ky-Fan k-norm.

Nuclear norm minimization problem:

 $\min \|\boldsymbol{X}\|_*$  subject to  $\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{y}.$ 

Geometry of nuclear norm minimization:

Nuclear norm ball  $\mathsf{B}_* = \{ \boldsymbol{X} \mid \| \boldsymbol{X} \|_* \leq 1 \}$ 

![](_page_44_Picture_8.jpeg)

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

#### Low-Rank Recovery with Generic Measurements

• Rank Restricted Isometry Property: for all rank-r X,

 $(1-\delta)\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_F \le \|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}[\boldsymbol{X}]\| \le (1+\delta)\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_F$ 

- Rank RIP ⇒ accurate recovery: if δ<sub>4r</sub>(A) ≤ √2 − 1, nuclear norm minimization recovers any rank-r X<sub>o</sub>.
- Random linear maps have rank-RIP if

$$\mathcal{A}[\boldsymbol{X}] = (\langle \boldsymbol{A}_1, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle, \dots, \langle \boldsymbol{A}_m, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle)$$

with  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  independent Gaussian matrices,  $\mathcal{A}$  has rank-RIP with high probability when  $m \geq C(n_1 + n_2)r/\delta^2$ .

Nuclear norm minimization recovers low-rank matrices from near minimal number  $m \sim r(n_1 + n_2 - r)$  of generic measurements.

### Generic vs. Structured Measurements

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Rank-RIP**: no low-rank X in null(A). **Matrix completion**:  $\exists$  rank-1 X in null(A). E.g.,  $X = E_{ij}$ ,  $(i, j) \notin \Omega$ .

 $\implies$  Matrix completion does not have restricted isometry property!

**Analogous instances**: superresolution of point sources, sparse spike deconvolution, analysis of dictionary learning methods.

# Theory for Matrix Completion

#### Theorem

With high probability, nuclear norm minimization recovers an  $n \times n$ ,  $\nu$ -incoherent, rank-r matrix from a random subset of entries, of size

$$m \ge Cnr\nu \log^2 n.$$

Restrict to incoherent  $X_o$ (not concentrated on a few entries!) Proof ideas: local isometry plus clever use of convexity and probability.

sometry plus clever  
nd probability.  

$$\nu = \max\{\frac{n}{r} \max_{i} ||\mathcal{P}_{U}e_{i}||_{2}^{2}, \frac{n}{r} \max_{j} ||\mathcal{P}_{V}e_{j}||_{2}^{2}, \frac{n}{r} \max_{j} ||\mathcal{P}_{V}e_{j}||_$$

### Parallelism between Rank and Sparsity

	Sparse Vector	Low-rank Matrix
Low-dimensionality of	individual signal $x$	a set of signals $X$
Compressive sensing	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X})$
Low-dim measure	$\ell^0$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _0$	$rank(oldsymbol{X})$
Convex surrogate	$\ell^1$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _1$	nuclear norm $\ oldsymbol{X}\ _*$
Success conditions (RIP)	$\delta_{2k}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$	$\delta_{4r}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$
Random measurements	$m = O\left(k\log(n/k)\right)$	m = O(nr)
Stable/Inexact recovery	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{z}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{Z}$
Phase transition at	Stat. dim. of descent cone: $m^* = \delta(D)$	

# Sharp Phase Transitions with Gaussian Measurements

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

High dimensions (large n): sharp line between success and failure!

Beautiful math: convex polytopes, conic geometry, high-D probability.

#### Noise and Inexact Structure

**Observation**:  $y = Ax_o + z$ , with  $x_o$  structured, and z noise.

**Goal**: produce  $\hat{x}$  as close to  $x_o$  as possible! Relax:

• **Lasso** for stable sparse recovery

$$\min_{m{x}} rac{1}{2} \|m{A}m{x} - m{y}\|_2^2 + \mu \|m{x}\|_1$$

Matrix Lasso for stable low-rank recovery

$$\min_{\mathbf{X}} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{X}] - \mathbf{y} \|_{2}^{2} + \mu \| \mathbf{X} \|_{*}.$$

Wealth of statistical results: if A "nice" (say, RIP or RSC) ...

(i) Deterministic noise:  $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_2$ (ii) Stochastic noise:  $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C\sigma\sqrt{k\log n/m}$ . (iii) Inexact structure:  $\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{x}_o\| \le C \|\boldsymbol{x}_o - [\boldsymbol{x}_o]_k\|$ .

### Parallelism between Rank and Sparsity

	Sparse Vector	Low-rank Matrix
Low-dimensionality of	individual signal $x$	a set of signals $X$
Compressive sensing	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X})$
Low-dim measure	$\ell^0$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _0$	$rank(oldsymbol{X})$
Convex surrogate	$\ell^1$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _1$	nuclear norm $\ oldsymbol{X}\ _*$
Success conditions (RIP)	$\delta_{2k}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$	$\delta_{4r}(\boldsymbol{A}) \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$
Random measurements	$m = O\left(k\log(n/k)\right)$	m = O(nr)
Stable/Inexact recovery	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{z}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{Z}$
Phase transition at	Stat. dim. of descent cone: $m^* = \delta(D)$	

## Combining Rank and Sparsity: Robust PCA?

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

Given  $Y = L_o + S_o$ , with  $L_o$  low-rank,  $S_o$  sparse, recover  $(L_o, S_o)$ .

(日) (部) (王) (王)

A robust counterpart to classical principal component analysis:

Classical PCA: Low-rank + small noise Matrix Completion: Low-rank from a subset of entries Low-rank and Sparse: Low-rank + gross errors

#### Low-rank + Sparse I: Video

A sequence of video frames can be modeled as a static background (low-rank) and moving foreground (sparse).

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

<ロト (部) (注) (注)

#### Low-rank + Sparse II: Faces

A set of face images of the same person under different lightings can be modeled as a low-dimensional,  $3 \sim 9$ d, subspace and sparse occlusions and corruptions (specularities).

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Low-rank + Sparse III: Communities

Finding communities in a large social networks. Each community can be modeled as a clique of the social graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , hence a rank-1 block in the connectivity matrix M. Hence M is a low-rank matrix and some sparse connections across communities.

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

<ロト <四ト <注入 <注下 <注下 <

Low-rank + Sparse: Convex Relaxations

#### **Optimization formulation:**

minimize  $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_0$  subject to  $\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{Y},$ 

which is intractable. Consider convex relaxation:

$$\|\boldsymbol{S}\|_0 \to \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1, \quad \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}) = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{L})\|_0 \to \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_*$$

minimize  $\|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1$  subject to  $\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{Y}$ .

- Theory: recovery, e.g., when L<sub>o</sub> incoherent, S<sub>o</sub> random sparse.
- Efficient, scalable methods: see Lecture 2 and course resources.

# **General Low-Dimensional Models** Atomic Norms and Structured Sparsity

Atomic Norm: for a set of atoms  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\diamondsuit} = \inf\{\sum_{i} c_{i} \mid \sum_{i} c_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x}\}$ 

- Sparsity:  $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{e}_i \}$ ,
- Low-rank:  $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}^T \}$ ,
- Column sparse matrices:  $\mathcal{D} = \{ \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{e}_j^T \}$ ,
- Sinusoids:  $\mathcal{D} = \{ \exp(\mathfrak{i}(2\pi ft + \xi)) \}$ ,
- Tensors:  $\mathcal{D} = \{ oldsymbol{u}_1 \otimes oldsymbol{u}_2 \otimes oldsymbol{u}_N \}$ , ...

#### Structured Sparsity: capture relationship between nonzeros

![](_page_57_Figure_8.jpeg)

(日) (四) (日) (日)

크

# Learned Low-Dimensional Models: Dictionary Learning, Deconvolution

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

min  $f(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{X}) \doteq \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}\|_F^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{X}\|_1$ , s.t.  $\boldsymbol{A} \in O_n$ 

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

The same **modeling toolkit**, but optimization formulations become **nonconvex**! (see Lecture 4)

# **Nonlinear Low-Dimensional Models**

Nonlinear Observations: Transformed low-rank texture

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

(a) Low-rank texture  $\boldsymbol{I}_o$ 

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

(b) Its image  $\boldsymbol{I}$  under a different viewpoint

#### Nonlinear (Manifold) Structure: Gravitational wave astronomy

![](_page_59_Figure_7.jpeg)

Nonconvex optimization + deep networks as tools for Linearizing Nonlinear Low-d Structure! (see Lectures 3,5-7)

# **Conclusion and Coming Attractions**

- **Models**: Sparse and Low-rank provide a flexible toolkit for modeling high-dimensional signals
- Sample Complexity: Structured signals can be recovered from near-minimal measurements m ~ #dof(x).
- Tractable Computation: Convex relaxations  $\ell^1$ , nuclear norm
- Extensions: Combinations, learned dictionaries, nonlinear structures.

**Next lecture**: low-dimensionality meets deep networks [Atlas Wang].

## Thank You! Questions?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで